

MODULI OF VECTOR BUNDLES ON CURVES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

KIRTI JOSHI AND EUGENE Z. XIA

ABSTRACT. Let X be a projective curve of genus 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. The Frobenius map on X induces a rational map on the moduli space of rank-2 bundles. We show that up to isomorphism, there is only one (up to tensoring by an order two line bundle) semi-stable vector bundle of rank 2 with determinant equal to a theta characteristic whose Frobenius pull-back is not stable. The indeterminacy of the Frobenius map at this point can be resolved by introducing Higgs bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus 2 over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let Ω be its canonical bundle. Define the (absolute) Frobenius morphism [4, 5]

$$F : X \longrightarrow X$$

which maps local sections $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ to f^p . As X is smooth, F is a (finite) flat map.

Let $U(r, L)$ denote the moduli of S-equivalence classes of semi-stable vector bundles of rank r and determinant L on X [9]. Denote J^0, J^1 the spaces of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree 0 and 1, respectively. Choose a theta characteristic $L_\theta \in J^1$. We study the Frobenius pull-backs of the bundle in $S_O = U(2, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $S_\theta = U(2, L_\theta)$. The geometry of the space S_θ has been studied extensively by Bhosle [1].

In general, the operation of Frobenius pull-back has a tendency to destabilize bundles (for an example of such behavior see [10]). In particular, the natural map $V \longmapsto F^*(V)$ gives a rational map on the moduli space. Note that the Frobenius does give a morphism on the stack of vector bundles.

A non-semi-stable rank 2 vector bundle with trivial determinant on X must be a direct sum of line bundles with one exception (see Proposition 3.3). In Proposition 3.5, we give a necessary and sufficient criterion for $F^*(V)$ to be non-semi-stable in terms of theta divisors. If

Date: February 1, 2008.

one assumes that X is an ordinary curve, then the number of semi-stable vector bundles which Frobenius destabilizes is finite (see Proposition 3.4). For brevity, we formulate the following definition. We say a vector bundle V is *Frobenius semi-stable (stable)* if V and $F^*(V)$ are semi-stable (stable).

For a given vector bundle V on X , let

$$J_2(V) = \{V \otimes L : L \in J^0, L^2 = \mathcal{O}_X\}$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose $p = 2$. Then there exists a bundle $V_1 \in \text{Ext}^1(L_\theta, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that if*

$$V \in S_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1),$$

then $F^*(V)$ is semi-stable.

By Theorem 1, if $V \in S_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1)$, then $F^*(V) \otimes \Omega^{-1} \in S_O$. Hence

Corollary 1.1. *If $p = 2$, then the Frobenius map induces a map*

$$F^* \otimes \Omega^{-1} : S_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1) \longrightarrow S_O.$$

Observe that in characteristic zero, there is no relation between S_O and S_θ .

We show that there is a natural way of resolving the indeterminacy of the Frobenius map at the point $V_1 \in S_\theta$, by replacing S_O, S_θ with moduli spaces of suitable Higgs pairs. Let $U(2, \mathcal{O}_X, L)$ and $U(2, L_\theta, L)$ be the moduli of semi-stable Higgs bundles with a fixed line bundle L [8]. There are similar notions of stability and semi-stability associated with a Higgs bundle [3, 8]. A Higgs bundle (V, ϕ) with line bundle L consists of a bundle V and a morphism $\phi : V \longrightarrow V \otimes L$. In general, the smaller is the degree of L , the smaller is the moduli $U(2, L_\theta, L)$. Denote by $S_O(\Omega)$ and $S_\theta(L_\theta)$ the spaces $U(2, \mathcal{O}_X, \Omega)$ and $U(2, L_\theta, L_\theta)$, respectively.

If $(V, \phi) \in S_\theta(L_\theta)$, then $(F^*(V) \otimes L_\theta^{-1}, F^*(\phi) \otimes 1) \in S_O(\Omega)$, where 1 denotes the constant automorphism of L_θ^{-1} .

We say a Higgs bundle (V, ϕ) is *Frobenius semi-stable (stable)* if (V, ϕ) and $(F^*(V), F^*(\phi))$ are semi-stable (stable).

Theorem 2. *Suppose $p = 2$.*

1. *If $(V, \phi) \in S_\theta(L_\theta)$, then either $V \in S_\theta$ or $V \in J_2(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L_\theta)$.*
2. *There exist Higgs fields ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 such that (W, ϕ_0) and (V, ϕ_1) are Frobenius semi-stable for all $W \in J_2(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L_\theta)$ and $V \in J_2(V_1)$.*

Corollary 1.2. *The Frobenius defines a map on a Zariski open set $U \subset S_\theta(L_\theta)$*

$$F^* \otimes \Omega^{-1} : U \longrightarrow S_O(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where U contains the set $S_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1)$ and the points $(W, \phi_0), (V, \phi_1)$ for any $W \in J_2(O_X \oplus L_\theta)$ and $V \in J_2(V_1)$.

Cartier's theorem [4] gives a criterion for descent under Frobenius. We are concerned with ascent under the Frobenius. Higgs bundles appear naturally in characteristic $p > 0$ context. To see this, let (V, ∇) be a vector bundle with a (flat) connection,

$$\nabla : V \longrightarrow \Omega \otimes_{O_X} V.$$

One associates to the pair (V, ∇) its p -curvature (see [5]) which is a homomorphism of O_X -modules [5]:

$$\psi : V \longrightarrow F^*(\Omega) \otimes_{O_X} V.$$

Thus the pair (V, ∇) gives a Higgs pair with line bundle $F^*(\Omega)$. We call the pair (V, ψ) a Frobenius-Higgs pair. Moduli space of Frobenius-Higgs pairs exists (see [8]). From this point of view, we are led to the consideration of Higgs bundles to study the properties of the Frobenius on the moduli spaces of vector bundles and Higgs bundles.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Usha Bhosle for reading a previous version and for her comments and suggestions for improvement. We thank Professors Minhyong Kim, N. Mohan Kumar, V. B. Mehta and S. Ramanan for insightful discussions and comments.

2. BUNDLE EXTENSIONS AND THE FROBENIUS MORPHISM

Suppose V is a vector bundle on X . The slope of V is defined as

$$\mu(V) = \deg(V)/\text{rank}(V).$$

If L is an line bundle on X , then $F^*(L) = L^p$. The push-forward, $F_*(O_X)$, is an O_X -module of rank p and one has the exact sequence of O_X -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow O_X \longrightarrow F_*(O_X) \longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

where the cokernel B_1 is of rank $p - 1$ and slope $g - 1$ (see [10]).

Tensoring the sequence with a line bundle L gives us

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow F_*(O_X) \otimes L \longrightarrow B_1 \otimes L \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

By the projection formula, this is

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow F_*(L^p) \longrightarrow B_1 \otimes L \longrightarrow 0.$$

The associated long cohomology sequence is

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^0(B_1 \otimes L) \longrightarrow H^1(L) \xrightarrow{f_L} H^1(F_*(L^p)) \longrightarrow \dots \quad (3)$$

Compute the Leray spectral sequence [2]

$$\begin{cases} E_\infty & \implies H^*(L^p) \\ E_2^{p,q} & = H^p(R^q F_*(L^p)) \end{cases}$$

Since F is affine, the sequence degenerates at E_2 . Hence

$$H^1(F_*(L^p)) \cong H^1(L^p).$$

Substituting this into the long exact sequence, one obtains

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^0(B_1 \otimes L) \longrightarrow H^1(L) \xrightarrow{f_L} H^1(L^p) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Suppose L_1, L_2 are two invertible sheaves. Then the space of all extensions of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow L_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

is $\text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1)$ and is isomorphic to $H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1)$.

Remark 2.1. *By Serre duality, one has*

$$\text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) = H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1) = H^0(\Omega \otimes L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}).$$

Suppose $V \in \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1)$, i.e. V fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow L_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since F is a flat morphism, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow F^*(L_1) \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow F^*(L_2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This gives a map

$$F^* : \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(F^*(L_2), F^*(L_1)) \cong \text{Ext}^1(L_2^p, L_1^p).$$

Proposition 2.1. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1) & \xrightarrow{f_L} & H^1(L_2^{-p} \otimes L_1^p) \\ \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) & \xrightarrow{F^*} & \text{Ext}^1(L_2^p, L_1^p) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fact that the functors $\Gamma(X, \cdot)$ and $\text{Hom}(O_X, \cdot)$ are equivalent. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. $F^*(V) = L_1^p \oplus L_2^p$ if and only if $V \in \ker(F^*)$.

Proof. Suppose $V \in \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) = H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1)$. The Corollary follows directly from the long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^0(B_1 \otimes L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1) \longrightarrow H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1) \xrightarrow{F^*} H^1(L_2^{-p} \otimes L_1^p) \longrightarrow \dots$$

□

3. THE MODULI OF SEMI-STABLE VECTOR AND HIGGS BUNDLES

A rank 2 vector bundle V of O_X -modules is called stable (semi-stable) if $W \subset V$ is a proper O_X -submodule implies $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$ ($\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$). The sets S_O and S_θ are defined to be the sets of all S -equivalence classes [9] of rank 2 locally free semi-stable sheaves with determinant equal to O_X and L_θ , respectively. Set

$$K = \{V \in S_O : V \text{ is semi-stable but not stable.}\}.$$

Given a line bundle L on X , a Higgs bundle (V, ϕ) consists of a vector bundle V and a Higgs field which is an O_X -module morphism:

$$\phi : V \longrightarrow V \otimes L.$$

A Higgs field can also be considered as an element in $H^0(\text{End}(V) \otimes L)$ and the Frobenius pull-back of ϕ is a section

$$F^*(\phi) \in H^0(\text{End}(F^*(V)) \otimes F^*(L)) = H^0(\text{End}(F^*(V)) \otimes L^p)$$

A Higgs bundle (V, ϕ) is said to be stable (semi-stable) if $W \subset V$ with $\phi(W) \subset W \otimes L$ being a proper subbundle implies $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$ ($\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$). The set $S_O(\Omega)$ and $S_\theta(L_\theta)$ are defined to be all S -equivalence classes [8] of rank 2 semi-stable Higgs bundles on X with L being Ω and L_θ , respectively.

Suppose $V \in K$. Then there exists $L \in J^0$ such that

$$0 \longrightarrow L^{-1} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

The pull-back of V by Frobenius then fits into the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F^*(L^{-1}) \xrightarrow{f_1} F^*(V) \xrightarrow{f_2} F^*(L) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is

$$0 \longrightarrow L^{\otimes(-p)} \xrightarrow{f_1} F^*(V) \xrightarrow{f_2} L^{\otimes p} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.1.

$$F^* : K \longrightarrow K.$$

is a well-defined morphism.

Proof. Let $H \subset V$ be a subbundle of maximum degree. If $f_2|_H = 0$, then $H = L^{\otimes(-p)}$ and $\deg(H) = \deg(L^{\otimes(-p)}) = 0$. If $f_2|_H \neq 0$, then $\deg(H) \leq \deg(L^{\otimes p}) = 0$. □

In general, $F^*(V)$ may not be semi-stable. For example, B_1 as well as $F^*(B_1)$ are of rank $p - 1$. By a theorem of Raynaud, the bundle B_1 is always semi-stable while $F^*(B_1)$ is not semi-stable if $p > 2$ [10].

If $V \in S_O$ is stable. Then there exists $L \in J^1$ such that

$$0 \longrightarrow L^{-1} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

The pull-back of V by Frobenius then fits into the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F^*(L^{-1}) \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow F^*(L) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is

$$0 \longrightarrow L^{\otimes(-p)} \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow L^{\otimes p} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The spaces $Ext^1(L_\theta, L_\theta^{-1})$ and $Ext^1(L_\theta, \mathcal{O}_X)$ are, respectively, isomorphic to $H^1(L_\theta)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$, hence by Riemann-Roch, are both one dimensional. Therefore, up to isomorphism, there exist unique non-trivial extensions V_0, V_1 :

$$0 \longrightarrow L_\theta^{-1} \longrightarrow V_0 \longrightarrow L_\theta \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow V_1 \longrightarrow L_\theta \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $L \in J^1$ and V is an extension of L^{-1} by L . Then either $V = L \oplus L^{-1}$ or $V \in J_2(V_0)$*

Proof. By Remark 2.1, the space of extension is isomorphic to $H^0(\Omega \otimes L^{-2})$. Since $\deg(L) = 1$, $h^0(\Omega \otimes L^{-2})$ is equal to 1 if $L^2 = \Omega$ and 0 otherwise. In the first case, $L \in J_2(L_\theta)$ and $H^1(L^{-2})$ consists of the trivial extension and a family of isomorphic bundles. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *If $V \in K$, then $F^*(V)$ is semi-stable. For any $V \in S_O$, if $F^*(V)$ is not semi-stable, then $F^*(V)$ is either a direct sum of two invertible sheaves, or $V \in J_2(V_0)$.*

Proof. Suppose $W = F^*(V)$ is not semi-stable. Then there exists line bundle $M \subset V$ such that

$$\deg(M) = \mu(M) > \mu(W) = 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This implies that

$$W \in Ext^1(M^{-1}, M) \cong H^0(\Omega \otimes M^{-2}).$$

By the above Proposition 3.2, $W \in J_2(V_0)$ or $M \oplus M^{-1}$. $\square$

A curve X is called ordinary if the induced map

$$F^* : H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(F^*(\mathcal{O}_X)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

is an isomorphism. The following proposition as a consequence of the results in [6, 7] was communicated to Joshi by V.B. Mehta:

Proposition 3.4. *If X is ordinary and $p > 2$, then there exists a finite set S , such that $F^*(V)$ is semi-stable for all $V \in S_O \setminus S$. In other words, F^* induces a morphism:*

$$F^* : S_O \setminus S \longrightarrow S_O.$$

Proof. By a theorem of Narasimhan-Ramanan, when $p = 0$, $S_O \cong \mathbb{P}^3$. Moreover, as was remarked to one of us by Ramanan, the proof given in [7] works in all characteristic $p \neq 2$ [7]. If X is ordinary, then by a theorem of Mehta-Subramanian [6], the Frobenius morphism is étale on a non-empty open set U :

$$F^* : U \longrightarrow S_O.$$

The open set U contains K which is an effective ample divisor in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Therefore $S_O \setminus U$ is of co-dimension 3, hence, is a finite set. Note that K can also be identified with the Kummer surface of J^0 in $\mathbb{P}^3$ [7]. $\square$

Although unable to identify explicitly this finite set upon which the Frobenius is not defined, we provide the following criterion.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $V \in S_O$. Then $F^*(V)$ is not semi-stable if and only if $F^*(V)$ is an extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $M \in J_2(L_\theta)$.

Proof. If $F^*(V)$ is indeed such an extension then $F^*(V)$ is clearly not semi-stable as degree of L_θ is positive. We use inseparable descent to prove the other direction. Suppose $F^*(V)$ is not semi-stable. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\deg(M) > 0$.

Following [4], $F^*(V)$ has a connection. The the second fundamental form of the connection is a morphism

$$T_X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M^{-1}) = M^{-2}. \quad (4)$$

As V is not semi-stable this morphism must not be the zero morphism. Such a morphism provides non-zero map (after dualizing)

$$M^2 \longrightarrow \Omega, \quad (5)$$

which is a non-zero section of the line bundle $\Omega \otimes M^{-2}$. This implies $\deg(\Omega \otimes M^{-2}) \geq 0$. Since $\deg(M) > 0$ and $\deg(\Omega) = 2$, we must have $\deg(M) = 1$. Hence $\Omega \otimes M^{-2}$ is a line bundle of degree zero which has a section if and only if it is trivial. Hence $\Omega = M^2$ and so $M \in J_2(L_\theta)$. $\square$

4. THE MODULI SPACES IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

In this section, we follow the notations and definitions introduced in Section 3 and assume $p = 2$. In characteristic 2, B_1 is invertible and equal to a theta characteristic [10], and we choose our L_θ to be B_1 .

4.1. The moduli of semi-stable sheaves. By a theorem in [7], if $V \in S_\theta$, then there exists $L_1 \in J^0, L_2 \in J^1$ and V is an extension of L_2 by L_1 :

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow L_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

with $L_1 \otimes L_2 = L_\theta$. In other words,

$$V \in \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) = H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1).$$

Since $L_\theta = B_1$, $h^0(B_1 \otimes L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1)$ is 1 if $L_\theta = L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}$ and 0 otherwise.

Suppose $L \in J_2(O_X)$, $L_1 = L$ and $L_2 = L \otimes L_\theta$. By Corollary 2.1,

$$\dim(\ker(F^*)) = 1$$

implying there is a unique (up to scalar) $0 \neq V \in \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1)$ such that $F^*(V) \in O_X \oplus \Omega$. In fact, such an extension V is isomorphic to $L \otimes V_1$.

Suppose $V \neq L \otimes V_1$. Then Corollary 2.1 implies that $F^*(V) \neq F^*(L_1) \oplus F^*(L_2) = L_1^2 \oplus L_2^2$. If $M \subset F^*(V)$ is a destabilizing subbundle, i.e. $\deg(M) \geq 2$, then $M^{-1} \otimes L_2^2$ has a global section implying $\deg(M) \leq \deg(L_2^2) = 2$. Moreover if $\deg(M) = 2$, then $M = L_2^2$ implying that $F^*(V)$ contains L_2^2 as a subbundle. Then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1^2 \otimes \Omega \longrightarrow F^*(V) \longrightarrow L_2^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

splits. This is a contradiction; hence, we conclude that $\deg(M) < 2$ and $F^*(V)$ is semi-stable. This proves Theorem 1.

4.2. Restoring Frobenius Stability: Higgs Bundles. Suppose $V \in S_\theta$. Then the Higgs pair $(V, 0) \in S_\theta(L_\theta)$. Hence $S_\theta \subset S_\theta(L_\theta)$ by the map $V \mapsto (V, 0)$. If $(V, \phi) \in S_\theta(L_\theta)$ and V is not semi-stable, then V is an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} L_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\deg(L_1) \geq 1 > \deg(L_2)$. Moreover $\phi(L_1)$ is not contained in $L_1 \otimes L_\theta$ (otherwise $\phi(L_1) \subset L_1 \otimes L_\theta$ implying (V, ϕ) is not semi-stable).

This implies that there exists line bundle $L_1 \neq H \subset V$ such that $\phi(L_1) \in H \otimes L_\theta$. Hence

$$\deg(L_1) \leq \deg(H) + \deg(L_\theta).$$

Since $L_1 \neq H$, $0 \neq f(H) \subset L_2$ implies that $\deg(H) \leq \deg(L_2)$. To summarize, we have the following inequalities:

$$\deg(L_2) + \deg(L_\theta) \geq \deg(H) + \deg(L_\theta) \geq \deg(L_1) > \deg(L_2).$$

Since $\deg(L_\theta) = 1$, $\deg(L_1) = \deg(H) + 1 = \deg(L_2) + 1 = 1$. The degree of H is thus zero implying that $f(H) = L_2$, so the exact sequence splits. In addition, since $0 \neq \phi(L_1) \in H \otimes L_\theta$, $\phi|_{L_1}$ must be a non-zero constant morphism and

$$L_1 = L_2 \otimes L_\theta.$$

Since $L_1 \otimes L_2 = L_\theta$, it must be the case that $V \in J_2(O_X \oplus L_\theta)$. This proves the first part of Theorem 2.

Given a Higgs bundle $(V, \phi) \in S_\theta(L_\theta)$ with $\phi \in H^0(\text{End}(V) \otimes L_\theta)$, the Frobenius pull-back $F^*(\phi)$ is in $H^0(\text{End}(F^*(V)) \otimes \Omega)$. If $V \in S_\theta \setminus J_2(V_1)$, then $F^*(V)$ is semi-stable implying $(F^*(V), F^*(\phi))$ is semi-stable. Suppose $L \in J_2(O_X)$.

Case 1: $W = L \oplus L \otimes L_\theta$.

We take the Higgs field ϕ_0 to be the identity map:

$$1 = \phi_0 : L \otimes L_\theta \longrightarrow L \otimes L_\theta.$$

If $M \subset L \oplus L \otimes L_\theta$, then either $M = L \otimes L_\theta$ or $\mu(M) < \mu(L \oplus L \otimes L_\theta)$. Since $L \otimes L_\theta$ is not ϕ_0 -invariant, (W, ϕ_0) is stable. The Frobenius pull-back

$$F^*(W) = O_X \oplus \Omega$$

and $F^*(\phi_0)$ is again a constant map

$$F^*(\phi_0) : \Omega \longrightarrow O_X \otimes \Omega.$$

Again if $M \subset O_X \oplus \Omega$ then either $M = \Omega$ or $\mu(M) < \mu(O_X \oplus \Omega)$. Since Ω is not $F^*(\phi_0)$ -invariant, $(F^*(W), F^*(\phi_0))$ is stable.

Case 2: $V = L \otimes V_1$.

The bundle V is a non-trivial bundle extension of $L \otimes L_\theta$ by L

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f_1} V \xrightarrow{f_2} L \otimes L_\theta \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensor the sequence with L_θ gives

$$0 \longrightarrow L \otimes L_\theta \xrightarrow{g_1} V \otimes L_\theta \xrightarrow{g_2} L \otimes \Omega \longrightarrow 0.$$

Set

$$\phi_1 = g_1 \circ \phi_0 \circ f_2.$$

The Frobenius pull-back decomposes V_1 :

$$F^*(V) = F^*(W) = O_X \oplus \Omega.$$

Pulling back the exact sequences by Frobenius gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow O_X \xrightarrow{F^*(f_1)} O_X \oplus \Omega \xrightarrow{F^*(f_2)} \Omega \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 \longrightarrow O_X \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{F^*(g_1)} (O_X \otimes \Omega) \otimes \Omega \xrightarrow{F^*(g_2)} \Omega \otimes \Omega \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

One must show that (V, ϕ_1) is Frobenius stable. If $M \subset O_X \oplus \Omega$ then either $M = \Omega$ or $\mu(M) < \mu(O_X \oplus \Omega)$. Hence to demonstrate the Frobenius stability of (V, ϕ_1) , one only has to show that Ω is not $F^*(\phi_1)$ -invariant.

The Frobenius pull-back of ϕ_1 is a composition:

$$F^*(\phi_1) = F^*(g_1) \circ F^*(\phi_0) \circ F^*(f_2).$$

Since the map $F^*(f_2)$ is surjective, the restriction map $F^*(f_2)|_\Omega$ is an isomorphism. The map ϕ_0 is an isomorphism and g_1 is injective; hence, $g_1 \circ \phi_0$ is injective. This implies $F^*(g_1) \circ F^*(\phi_0)$ is injective. Therefore $F^*(\phi_1)|_\Omega$ is injective. Since $\deg(\Omega) < \deg(\Omega \otimes \Omega)$, $F^*(\phi_1)|_\Omega$ being injective implies

$$F^*(\phi_1)(\Omega) \not\subset \Omega \otimes \Omega \subset (O_X \oplus \Omega) \otimes \Omega.$$

In other words, $\Omega \subset O_X \oplus \Omega$ is not $F^*(\phi_1)$ -invariant. Hence $(F^*(V), F^*(\phi_1))$ is stable. This proves Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhosle, U., Pencils of Quadrics and Hyperelliptic Curves in Characteristic Two *J. Reine und Angew. Math.*, Vol. 407 (1990), 75-98.
- [2] Griffiths, P., Harris, J., Principles of Algebraic Geometry, *Wiley Interscience*, (1978).
- [3] Hitchin N., The Self-Duality Equations on a Riemann Surface, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 55 (1987), 59-126.
- [4] Katz, N. M., Nilpotent Connections and the Monodromy Theorem; Application of a Result of Turrittin. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, 39 (1970), 355-412.
- [5] Katz, N. M., Algebraic Solutions of Differential Equations (p -curvature and the Hodge Filtration) *Inventiones Math.*, 18 (1972), 1-118.
- [6] Mehta, V. B., Subramanian, S., NEF Line Bundles Which Are Not Ample *Math. Z.*, Vol. 219, No. 2, (1995), 235-244.
- [7] Narasimhan, M. S. and Ramanan, S., Moduli of Vector Bundles on a Compact Riemann Surface, *Ann. of Math.*, Vol. 89, (1969), 14-51.
- [8] Nitsure, N., Moduli Space of Semistable Pairs on a Curve. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 62 (1991), 275-300.
- [9] Seshadri, C. S., Space of Unitary Vector Bundles on a Compact Riemann Surface, *Ann. of Math.*, Vol. 85, (1967), 303-336.
- [10] Raynaud, M., Sections des Fibrés Vectoriels sur une Courbe, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* Vol. 110, (1982), 103-125.

MODULI OF VECTOR BUNDLES ON CURVES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, AZ
85721

E-mail address: kirti@math.arizona.edu (*Joshi*), exia@math.arizona.edu
(*Xia*)